

The Association between Ownership of Non-Retirement Investments and Household Income



Emmet Powell, Applied Data Analysis, Wesleyan University

Introduction

- The stock market has always been depicted and stereotyped as something that the wealthy people use to grow their wealth, and isn't often seen as something used by the common American as much (Khan, Rabbani, & Kadoya, 2020).
- For example, stock market participation is more pronounced in high-income, high-education, highpopulation-density groups (Gao et al., 2019).

Results

Univariate

- 31.5% of the survey's participants reported owning non-retirement investments such as stocks, mutual funds, or bonds.
- The most likely household income range is category 8, \$100,000 to \$149,999 range (17.44% of participants).
- The most likely education category is number 3, representing some college time or an associate degree (30.2%).
- For example, evidence from the 1983-1989 Survey of Consumer Finances indicates that households with higher education and greater wealth who were nonstockholders in 1983 had an **increased probability** of entering by 1989. However, in 1983 stockholders with lower resources and more limited education were more likely to be non-stockholders by 1989 (Bertaut, 1998).
- People with higher income or higher education are more optimistic about future macroeconomic developments, such as stock market returns (Das et al., 2020).

Research Questions

- Are individuals with higher household incomes more likely to own non-retirement investments?
- Does the relationship between household income and owning non-retirement investments differ based on education.
- Are these differences consistent and significant across all observations?

Bivariate

 A Pearson chi-square correlation test showed that ownership of nonretirement investments were significantly and positively associated with household income (X2=751.34, p=0.000).

Figure 1



Note: Household Income level 1 represents an income less than 20k all the way up to level 9 which represents 150K+.

Additionally, a post hoc test revealed that there was **significant association** within all household income levels except between neighboring levels. (ie. Income level 2 is significantly associated (p<.05) with all levels except level 1 and 3).

Methods

Sample

 Respondents (n=6,394) were drawn from address-based sampling, dual-frame landline, and cell phone random digit dialing methods. The National Financial Wellbeing survey, a nationally representative sample of adults in the U.S.

Measures

- The first variable is the **presence of non-retirement investments**. This variable is a two level categorical variable simply determining the presence or absence of non-retirements investments for the individual.
- Household income is a categorical variable that is ordered. Household income was gathered in the form of ranges starting at less than 20k to more than 150k and then set to a corresponding dummy value ranging from 1 to 9.
- **Education** to the highest degree is a third variable used and is divided into 5 categories, each a different degree.

Multivariate

- Multiple logistic regression demonstrated that for all household income levels, the probability of owning an investment product increased as the individual's education level increased (Figure 2).
- After controlling for household income, the odds of owning a investment product is 6.67 times
 greater for respondents who have a graduate degree than for respondents who have less than a high school diploma.

Figure 2: Probability of Ownership of Investment Products for Each Level of Education, Sorted by Household Income



Note: Y axis ranges from 0 to 1 with intervals of 0.2 (20%).

Discussion

- The odds of having an investment product **increases by 1.27** as the respondents income level increases by 1.
- Owning non-retirement investments is **positively and significantly associated** with household income.
- The odds of having an income greater than 74,999 (closest interval cutoff to average median household income in U.S.) is 3.57 times greater for respondents owning an investment product than those who do not.
- This information could be used to make policy reform incentivizing low-income and less educated individuals to invest money and help increase their financial literacy to potentially **help narrow the wealth gap** created in financial markets such as the stock market.
- Further research is required to determine if a more balanced distribution of individuals owning non-retirement investments across all levels
 of household income and education would be beneficial in increasing the median household income in the United States.

Bertaut, C. C. (1998). Stockholding behavior of US households: Evidence from the 1983-1989 survey of consumer finances. *Review of Economics and Statistics, 80*(2), 263-275.

Chen, F. Z., et al. (2020). Holding risky financial assets and subjective wellbeing: Empirical evidence from China. *North American Journal of Economics and Finance* 54, 14.

Das, S., Kuhnen, C. M., & Nagel, S. (2020). Socioeconomic Status and Macroeconomic Expectations. *Review of Financial Studies*, 33(1), 395-432.

Gao, M., Meng, J. J., & Zhao, L. K. (2019). Income and social communication: The demographics of stock market participation. *World Economy, 42*(7), 2244-2277.

Huang, H. C., Yuan, J. L., Lin, G. H., & Chi, J. [(Date.)] Underestimation of financial literacy and financial market participation. *Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy*, 26.

Khan, M. S. R., Rabbani, N., & Kadoya, Y. (2020). Is Financial Literacy Associated with Investment in Financial Markets in the United States? *Sustainability*, 12(18), 14.

Li, J. J., Li, Q. Z., & Wei, X. (2020). Financial literacy, household portfolio choice and investment return. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal, 62*, 15.